

# Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

Scott District FY04 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

From the Scott SWCD Work Plan & the County WMO Comprehensive Water Resource Mgmt Plan:

1. *Soil erosion*
2. *Water quality, water retention, and volume reduction of surface water runoff.*
3. *Wetland protection & improvement*
4. *Wildlife habitat*

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

- a. *Sand creek watershed geographical boundary.*
- b. *Prior Lake Spring Lake Watershed District.*
- c. *Vermillion watershed boundary.*
- d. *Southwest watershed boundary.*

Resource concerns are those 4 identified in first question.

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	1	3
A2 Gully Control	1	3
B1 Water Resource	2	3
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	2	3
C Habitat Improvement	4	1
D Air Quality	-	1
E Impaired Water	2	2
F Distance	2	2
G Grazing System	1	1
H Forest Mgt.	4	1
Additional Local*	0	0

\* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

*None*

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 04 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.